

RESEARCH DEGREE: Preliminary Report of an examiner on a thesis submitted for the degree of Master of Philosophy

This form should be completed electronically by an approved examiner after having read the thesis submitted by the candidate. The examiner is required to make an independent preliminary report together with a provisional recommendation. The completed form must be returned to research.degree@solent.ac.uk in the Graduate School at least one week before the oral or approved alternative examination is held.

PART A	A: THE	CANDIDATE (to be	completed by the Graduate S	School)			
1. 2.	Surname/	Family Name:					
3.	Student I	Number:					
4a. Department		Department of Arts & Music	Department of Business & Law	Department of Science & Engineering	Warsash Maritime	School	
		Department of Sport & Health	Department of Social Sciences & Nursing	Department of Film & Media			
4b. Research Theme Engineering & Environment			Human Function & Health	Media, Culture & the Arts	Social Res & Policy	search	
5.	Level of av	ward:			MPhil		
6. Thesis submission date:		mission date:					
7.	Name of any external collaborating establishment(s) (if applicable):						
8. Title of submitted thesis:							
PART I	B: EXAI	MINERS PRELIMIN	ARY REPORT				
9. Name of Examiner:							

The examiner is asked to provide a reasoned assessment of the candidate's thesis with particular reference to <u>Solent University's Regulations for Postgraduate Research Students</u>.

All comments and feedback given in this document must be appropriate for dissemination to the student; this document forms part of the student record and can be supplied to them following a Freedom of Information (FOI) request.

	e student able to demonstrate through the body of their work and examination t wing:
i.	a systematic understanding of knowledge, and a critical awareness of current problems and/or new insights, much of which is at, or informed by, the forefront of their academic discipline, field of study or area of professional practice;
ii.	a comprehensive understanding of techniques applicable to their own research or advanced scholarship;
iii.	originality in the application of knowledge, together with a practical understanding of how established techniques of research and enquiry are used to create and interpret knowledge in the discipline;
a) b) c)	conceptual understanding that enables the student: to evaluate critically current research and advanced scholarship in the discipline; to evaluate methodologies and develop critiques of them and, where appropriate, to propose new hypotheses; and to undertake sound decision-making dealing with complex issues both systematically and creatively in the absence of complete data and be able to communicate their conclusions to specialist and non-specialist audiences.
Door	the thesis conform to the usual scholarly requirements for the relevant dissiplin
Does	the thesis conform to the usual scholarly requirements for the relevant disciplin
Is th	e abstract of the thesis acceptable?
Is th	e abstract of the thesis acceptable?
Is th	e abstract of the thesis acceptable?
In th	e case of a candidate whose research programme is part of a collaborative group
In th	·
In th	e case of a candidate whose research programme is part of a collaborative group
In th proje	e case of a candidate whose research programme is part of a collaborative group ct, (see item 7) does the thesis clearly indicate the individual contribution?
In th proje	e case of a candidate whose research programme is part of a collaborative group
In th proje	e case of a candidate whose research programme is part of a collaborative group ct, (see item 7) does the thesis clearly indicate the individual contribution?
In th proje	e case of a candidate whose research programme is part of a collaborative group ct, (see item 7) does the thesis clearly indicate the individual contribution?
In th proje	e case of a candidate whose research programme is part of a collaborative group ct, (see item 7) does the thesis clearly indicate the individual contribution?
In th proje	e case of a candidate whose research programme is part of a collaborative group ct, (see item 7) does the thesis clearly indicate the individual contribution?
In th proje	e case of a candidate whose research programme is part of a collaborative group ct, (see item 7) does the thesis clearly indicate the individual contribution?
In th proje	e case of a candidate whose research programme is part of a collaborative group ct, (see item 7) does the thesis clearly indicate the individual contribution?
In th proje	e case of a candidate whose research programme is part of a collaborative group ct, (see item 7) does the thesis clearly indicate the individual contribution?
In th proje	e case of a candidate whose research programme is part of a collaborative group ct, (see item 7) does the thesis clearly indicate the individual contribution?
In th proje	e case of a candidate whose research programme is part of a collaborative group ct, (see item 7) does the thesis clearly indicate the individual contribution?
In th proje	e case of a candidate whose research programme is part of a collaborative group ct, (see item 7) does the thesis clearly indicate the individual contribution?
In th proje The p	e case of a candidate whose research programme is part of a collaborative group oct, (see item 7) does the thesis clearly indicate the individual contribution? Oreliminary report: Port of about 300 words should be adequate)
In th proje	e case of a candidate whose research programme is part of a collaborative group oct, (see item 7) does the thesis clearly indicate the individual contribution? Oreliminary report: Poort of about 300 words should be adequate)
In the project of the	e case of a candidate whose research programme is part of a collaborative group oct, (see item 7) does the thesis clearly indicate the individual contribution? Oreliminary report: Ourt of about 300 words should be adequate) sional Recommendation If the examiner wishes to withhold their recommendation until after the examination, this does tasted below. The candidate's performance in the oral examination or alternative ination will determine the final outcome, which should be stated on Form RD5DEX following the stated on Form
In the project of the proving	e case of a candidate whose research programme is part of a collaborative group oct, (see item 7) does the thesis clearly indicate the individual contribution? Oreliminary report: Ourt of about 300 words should be adequate) sional Recommendation If the examiner wishes to withhold their recommendation until after the examination, this does tasted below. The candidate's performance in the oral examination or alternative ination will determine the final outcome, which should be stated on Form RD5DEX following the stated on Form

	PDEM (00/20			. /2022)
Signed by Examiner:	RD5M (09/2023)			
Print Name:			Date:	